UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/566,777	07/10/2006	Peter Joseph Ayre	115427.00006	7747
72535 7590 07/06/2009 MCCARTER & ENGLISH, LLP STAMFORD FINANCIAL CENTRE, SUITE 304A			EXAMINER	
			ALTER, ALYSSA MARGO	
695 EAST MAIN STREET STAMFORD, CT 06901-2138			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3762	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/06/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/566,777	AYRE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Alyssa M. Alter	3762			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 Ja</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 31 January 2006 is/are:	r election requirement. r. a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected	-			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1-31-06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

1. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Specifically, the claiming of structures being in contact with or implanted within the body amounts to an inferential recitation of the body, which renders these claims non-statutory. The examiner recommends changing "implanted" to --configured to be implanted--.

As to claim 5, it is unclear where the "sleeper ring" is located and how it interacts with the first coil.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 1-4 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chen et al. (US 5,741,316). Chen et al. discloses an electromagnetic coil configuration for transmission through tissue, as seen in figures 1 and 4. As depicted in the figure 1, the examiner considers core 28 to be a first portion of the conductive core and core 42 to be a second portion of the conductive core. Also depicted in figure 1, are the first

coils 34 located external the patient on the first portion of the core and the second coils 48 located on the second portion of the core which is implanted within the patient.

As to claim 2, since half of the core is implanted within the patient and half the core is external to the patient, the examiner considers the conductive core to be partially implanted.

As to claim 3, additionally, since the examiner considers the first and second portion to comprise the conductive core, the conductive core is thus a loop or ring-like configuration. This loop or ring-like configuration is depicted in figure 1.

As to claim 4, since the conductive core is in two separate portions, the examiner considers the conductive core to not breach the outer surface of the skin.

As to claim 7, Chen et al. discloses that the transmitter is implanted into the cutaneous layer of the skin. Therefore the transmitter is encapsulated within the skin layer.

In an alternative interpretation of Chen, the examiner considers the core to be 42 with coil 48 surrounding it and similarly coil 34 "surrounds" core 42. Furthermore, the claim does not state the coil "completely encircles" or "completely surrounds" the core.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Chen et al. (US 5,741,316). Chen et al. discloses the core is created from layers of material, as seen in figures 8-12. Since the core is created from a composite of materials, the examiner considers the surface of the core to necessary possess some texture and not to be completely smooth. Thus making the conductive core material inherently texturized. Also, even if the core is smooth, it still has a "smooth texture".

In the alternative, although the examiner considers Chen et al. to include a textured conduction core, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a textured surface on the conduction core in order to provide the predictable results of enhancing the adherence of the coils to a specific location on the conductive core.

2. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen et al. (US 5,741,316). Chen et al. discloses the device substantially as claimed except for the protective material surrounding the electrically conductive core. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a protective layer of material since such a modification would provide the predictable results of enhance bio-stability and reduce the immune response to the implanted component. Additionally, it is well known in the art to include a biocompatible layer or protective layer, i.e. heparin, to reduce the immune response to the implanted component.

Application/Control Number: 10/566,777 Page 5

Art Unit: 3762

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alyssa M. Alter whose telephone number is (571)272-4939. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8am to 4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (571) 272-4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/George R Evanisko/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3762 /Alyssa M Alter/ Examiner Art Unit 3762